

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/669,703	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas A. Morrison	<b>Art Unit</b> 3653	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

 (3) Mr. Christian Michel (Reg. No. 46,300).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 December 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

1, 6-8, 11 and 12

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet


**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Michel (applicant's representative) to get permission to delete all occurrences of the terms "adapted to" in the claims, to further clarify the claims of the instant application. Also, requested a definition of the terms "no-load operations of a transfer belt", so that such definition is clearly set forth on the record. Mr. Michel agreed to the deletion of all occurrences of the terms "adapted to" on 12/19/2005. Mr. Michel indicated he would get back to the examiner to provide a definition for the terms "no-load operations of a transfer belt". The examiner agreed to add such definition to the specification and delete the terms "adapted to" in the claims via an examiner's amendment. Such proposed changes to the instant application were discussed with a primary examiner prior to conducting the interview on 12/19/2005. Mr. Michel provided the examiner with a definition of the terms "no-load operations of a transfer belt" on 12/21/2005. Specifically, Mr. Michel indicated that the terms "no-load operations of a transfer belt" mean "driving the transfer belt 162 while the transfer belt 162 is separated from the transfer roller 163." The examiner faxed a proposed amendment for Mr. Michel's review. Mr. Michel approved this proposed amendment on 12/22/2005.

  
DONALD E. WALSH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600